

Constitutional Alliance - Mark Lerner - Identity Standards new paper - Technical Standards for Digital Identity

This is the most comprehensive paper written acknowledging *universal ID*, thus total global biometric enrollment. Further, the paper stresses the need for international adopted standards for all countries that lay out the need for standards for collection, retention and sharing of biometrics.

This entire communication is written in response to a paper published by the World Bank Group titled *Technical Standards for Digital Identity*. You can read *Technical Standards for Digital Identity* by clicking on the following link:

<http://pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/579151515518705630/ID4D-Technical-Standards-for-Digital-Identity.pdf>

The authors stress the need to focus on digital ID as countries move away from paper ID documents. Finally, there can no longer be any refuting that the goal as outlined by U.N. Agenda 2030, item 19, is total global biometric enrollment by 2030. It is important to note that the World Bank, biometric vendors, governments or their representatives all had a role in the document.

There is a built in assumption that all governments are free of corruption and/or using the biometrics in such a way that those in power in countries will not use biometrics to control people, but rather control resources in such a way as to benefit people. Resources should be understood to mean goods, services, and entitlements that include money.

Once again, we see the *cloud* being described as secure and servers seen as problematic. This is dispute the fact that the experts I have spoken with do not believe the cloud is as secure as some experts claim. The following paragraph to those that are concerned about the security of Personal Identifiable Information:

"ID in the central store/Cloud: Instead of issuing an identity document or mobile credential, a digital identity system can rely on biometrics for remote authentication. In this case, an identity is asserted and verified via a computer or other device with a biometric reader that connects to the Cloud. A Cloud-based system eliminates the need and cost of physical credentials, but requires robust ICT infrastructure for connectivity and security of the central storage."

It is the paragraph above that we see the entire premise of establishing identity relies on biometrics. Once again there is a built in assumption they biometrics are secure and not susceptible to compromise. We know that this is not true. Biometrics can be reversed engineered, and whether biometrics are stored in servers, databases, or the cloud, the fact is the servers, databases, and the cloud can each be compromised.

This wording is fine unless reality is injected into the discussion:

"In addition, identity providers may need to revoke an identity, which involves invalidating the digital identity for either fraud or security reasons, or terminate an identity in the case of the individual's death."

The authors fail to acknowledge that governments can invalidate the digital identity of a person or people that oppose actions their government is taking. Dissenters of government polices will for all intent and

purposes lose their anonymity, and further would be denied access to *benefits* that others will receive. This is worse than being a second class citizen; a dissenter becomes non-existent once their digital identity is invalidated.

The next paragraph is essential to understand if a person doubts that what is proposed in this paper is the underpinning for global governance (*federation* is all countries in the world):

"For federation to be effectively used globally, agreement and mapping with the ISO defined assurance framework and adoption of federation protocols as standards are critical."

Anyone that doubts that what is proposed is a global initiative should read pages 6 and 7 (as marked at the bottom of the pages of the document). On pages 6 and 7 there are literal descriptions of the international, national, and corporate entities that are integrally participating in this global plan.

Pages as numbered on the document itself between page number 9 and 21 are diagrams that leave zero doubt this proposal is all about global biometric enrollment using international standards for all countries.

Page 22 as numbered on the pages of the document refers to India's national/international ID program named Aadhaar, a programs that the Constitutional Alliance has called the *petri dish* or in other words the canary in the coal mine for all other countries to learn from. Subsequent pages of the document outline what other countries have and are doing, that in some cases took what is being done in India, and expanded on what India is doing in other countries by adding additional technologies to the equation.

On page 25 as marked on the document itself we find the four words that demonstrate what the countries and global consortium want; "scalability, connectivity, interoperability, and compatibility."

We also find on page 25 the only reference to protecting biometrics from security breaches. There are four sentences devoted to protecting biometrics from security breaches. Not surprisingly, there is no mention of the real life cases where biometrics have been compromised such as the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) breach of biometrics in the United States and how individuals in India have cloned or otherwise compromised the biometrics of other people to receive the benefits of those people who had their biometrics compromised.

On page 27 as numbered on the document there are only three sentences devoted to protecting the Personal Identifiable Information of the people all over the world that would be in this global system already being placed. There is no reason to cite the hundreds of cases of databases being breached and/or compromised in the United States and around the world; this information is readily available to anyone who has a search engine and types in database breeches, compromised and/or hacked.

My conclusion -

There is a better likelihood that the good tooth fairy is real, than the goal outlined in the document will ever be used to benefit all people in the world. In all seriousness, what the document describes is a prescription for total control of all people. People will not be able to buy, sell, bank, work, travel, vote, and on and on, without the permission, approval, and/or consent of the global consortium described within the document itself. The principle for the foundation of our country, being the consent of the governed, will be

delegated to history books, if these words are allowed to even appear in print or to be spoken going forward at all.

Even before there was a Constitutional Alliance a group of us were already warning people that a global system of identification and financial control was coming. At the time, over 15 years ago we outlined the plan that is being fulfilled today. We gave specific details unlike others that provided vague predictions with specific details. When the Real ID Act 2005 was enacted the Constitutional Alliance was the only organization anywhere to say Real ID was international ID, not just national ID. We provided irrefutable evidence for what we asserted. Some have said members of our organization are obsessed with biometrics. We are not obsessed. What we are is committed to protecting the Constitution of the United States, the sovereignty of the United States, and being a Watchman on the Wall.

People either control government or government controls the people. The ultimate authority is God. All Glory belongs to God. The Constitutional Alliance is a loose knit group of private citizens. state lawmakers, state and national groups, and ministries. All our members are not Christians; some are Jewish and some people are agnostic . That being said, the **members that are not Christians are admitting what is told to us in scripture that is referred to as *prophecy*, is being fulfilled**. What members of the Constitutional Alliance have testified about before committees has not been refuted. Denying what we have said, or written is not refuting what we have said or written. Refuting would require showing what we have said or written is not true. The Constitutional Alliance has over 70,000 hours of studying biometrics, and the global and biblical consequences.

Please share this communication with everyone you are in contact with and ask those people to do the same. With knowledge comes responsibility. We do ask that people give as generously as they can to the Constitutional Alliance by visiting our web-site www.constitutionalalliance.org and click on the donate button.

The Constitutional Alliance